

ESTILL MIDDLE 555 Third Street West Estill, South Carolina 29918

GRADES 5-7 Middle School

ENRULLMENT 359 Students

PRINCIPAL Joyce Colter 803-625-2658

SUPERINTENDENT Dennis Thompson, Jr. 803-625-5000

BOARD CHAIR Mrs. Myrtle Sumter 803-625-2187

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD 2003

ABSOLUTE RATING:

UNSATISFACTORY

Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

0 0 2 13 12

IMPROVEMENT RATING:

UNSATISFACTORY

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS:

NO

This school met 8 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT:

WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

_		
PERFURMANCE "	TRENDS OVER	4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Good	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School Middle Schools with Students like Ours







Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations



Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations



Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level



Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

Below Basic

Basic

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

Definition of Critical Terms

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	29	85	0
Percent satisfied with learning environment	34.5%	68.7%	N/R
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	53.6%	68.3%	N/R
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	24.1%	75.6%	N/R

Estill Middle								2502014
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP						
		/& A		/ .c.		/ x	/ \	cientand Advanced State
		Rent Testing	Lested old the	alou Basic	/ is /	Proficient of	Advanced olo Profi	cient anced
	rolle	in of jo	1621 / V	NOW OF	Basic ol	Skor,	Adul Orofi	cient anced State
	\time 0	See of	, 0/0	-/ "	·/ "		0/0/	r' sta
			E	nglish/Lar	iguage A	rts		
All students	367	98.9	58.4	35.5	6.0	N/A	6.0	17.6
Gender								
Male	192	98.4	68.8	28.9	2.3	N/A	2.3	17.6
Female	175	99.4	46.5	43.3	10.2	N/A	10.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
African-American	350	98.9	58.5	35.1	6.3	N/A	6.3	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	299	99.3	57.6	35.3	7.2	N/A	7.2	17.6
Disabled	68	97.1	63.0	37.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	367	98.9	58.2	35.8	6.1	N/A	6.1	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Man Basita d Cardials and Calant	1 004	000	F0 0	050		1 A 1 / A		47.0

58.6

59.1

45.5

35.2

35.4

40.9

6.2

5.5

13.6

N/A

N/A

N/A

6.2

5.5

13.6

17.6

17.6

17.6

98.9

99.1

100.0

361

340

24

Non-limited English proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

	Mathematics										
All students	367	99.7	62.8	30.0	6.3	0.9	7.2	15.5			
Gender											
Male	192	99.5	63.8	29.9	5.7	0.6	6.3	15.5			
Female	175	100.0	61.8	29.9	7.0	1.3	8.3	15.5			
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	10	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
African-American	350	99.7	63.4	30.0	6.0	0.6	6.6	15.5			
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
Hispanic	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
Disability Status											
Not disabled	299	99.7	59.0	32.4	7.6	1.1	8.6	15.5			
Disabled	68	100.0	81.8	18.2	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
Non-migrant	367	99.7	62.8	29.9	6.3	0.9	7.3	15.5			
English Proficiency											
Limited English proficient	6	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5			
Non-limited English proficient	361	99.7	63.4	29.8	6.2	0.6	6.8	15.5			
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsidized meals	340	99.7	63.8	29.1	6.1	1.0	7.1	15.5			
Full-pay meals	24	100.0	50.0	40.9	9.1	N/A	9.1	15.5			

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 8

N/A

N/A

triding of teding olo Profese Harden de de la companya olo Balom Basic olo Proficient o/o Advanced olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 118 45.9 48.0 N/A N/A 6.1 6.1 Grade 6 32.6 113 N/A 59.3 8.1 N/A 8.1 Grade 7 127 41.7 N/A N/A 51.9 6.5 6.5 Grade 8 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 141 97.9 60.2 35.3 4.5 N/A 4.5 Grade 6 115 99.1 66.7 24.8 8.6 N/A 8.6 Grade 7 111 100.0 46.8 47.9 5.3 N/A 5.3

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

N/A

		Mathematics											
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2002	Grade 5	118	N/A	55.1	37.8	7.1	N/A	7.1					
20	Grade 6	113	N/A	72.1	26.7	1.2	N/A	1.2					
	Grade 7	127	N/A	78.7	20.4	0.9	N/A	0.9					
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					
2003	Grade 5	141	99.3	66.4	27.6	4.5	1.5	6.0					
20	Grade 6	115	100.0	54.3	41.0	4.8	N/A	4.8					
	Grade 7	111	100.0	67.0	21.3	10.6	1.1	11.7					
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A					

SCHOOL PROFILE

(Our School Change from Last Year		Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 359)				
Students enrolled in high school credi courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No change	7.8%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.5%	Down from 2.5%	3.1%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	94.5%	Up from 94.4%	94.6%	95.2%
	2.2%	Down from 2.5%	4.9%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	12.7%	Down from 13.7%	16.3%	14.1%
	6.4%	Up from 2.5%	9.9%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.4%	Down from 3.3%	1.6%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	38.5%	Down from 46.4%	44.6%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	84.6%	Up from 75.0%	70.7%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	r 78.2%	Up from 76.4%	73.9%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	94.5%	Down from 94.6%	94.6%	95.0%
	\$38,113	Up 2.3%	\$38,876	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	14.1 days	Up from 9.5 days	12.8 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	No change	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	12.7 to 1	Down from 18.5 to 1	17.3 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	83.8%	Down from 89.4%	86.7%	88.9%
	\$9,351	N/A	\$7,457	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	0.0%	N/A	58.7%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences	94.8%	Up from 79.8%	92.1%	94.8%
SACS accreditation	no	N/A	yes	yes
			•	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

		•		-
Ahhra	WISTIAN	c tor	Missina	I lata

						Ū			
1	N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Estill Middle School is the only middle school in Hampton School District 2 which is located in the Low Country of South Carolina. The small, rural town of Estill is quiet and peaceful. The people are hard-working and caring folks who are concerned about their progressive community.

The mission of Estill Middle School is to ensure that all students achieve success by providing challenging educational programs, in partnership with parents and the community. The Estill community, parents, school board, administration and faculty/staff work collaboratively as a team to improve student achievement at Estill Middle School. Parents are encouraged to become more actively involved in the education of their youngsters and in the school activities that their children participate in.

As principal of Estill Middle School, our first priority for school year 2002-2003 was to improve our Report Card rating from UNSATISFACTORY to EXCELLENT. Improvement strategies were implemented to help our students perform better on the Spring 2003 PACT. The faculty and staff worked diligently with students to increase academic achievement and self-awareness through various innovative and beneficial programs. These programs included Compass Learning, Lightspan, EduTest, the Cunningham Four Block Model, Discourse, Standards In Practice and Everyday Math.

Joyce Colter Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.